

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

RECONCILING RELATIONSHIPS: A SOCIAL SCIENCE PERSPECTIVE ON THE FAMILY COURT ACT, 1984

AUTHORED BY - DR RAJESH KUMAR VERMA¹

& BANDANA SINGH²

ABSTRACT:

This paper explores the intersection of legal frameworks and social science perspectives within the context of the Family Court Act, 1984. Focusing on the theme of reconciling relationships, the study delves into the historical evolution and objectives of the Act, while employing social science theories to dissect the intricate dynamics of family relationships. The paper critically examines the challenges and critiques surrounding the legislation, shedding light on gaps that exist between legal provisions and social realities. The interplay of culture and legal systems is explored to understand the cultural influences shaping family relationships and their alignment or discrepancies with the legal framework. holistic approach to relationship reconciliation.

INTRODUCTION:

The Family Court Act, 1984 was enacted to address the rising complexity of family disputes and streamline legal proceedings pertaining to marriage, divorce, and other familial matters. Its inception marked a paradigm shift, emphasizing the need for specialized courts to handle family matters expeditiously. The Act aimed to provide a forum that prioritizes reconciliation and amicable resolution over adversarial litigation, recognizing the delicate nature of familial relationships.

In the case of Suresh v. State of Maharashtra (1983) the court highlighted the inadequacies of traditional legal systems in handling family disputes. The judiciary, in its observations, underscored the necessity for a specialized mechanism to address the unique intricacies of familial conflicts, laying the foundation for the subsequent legislative intervention.

The significance of reconciling relationships within the ambit of the Family Court Act cannot

¹ Associate Professor, School of Legal Studies, Babu Banarasi Das University, Lucknow U.P.

² Advocate, High Court of Allahabad, Lucknow Bench & Guest Faculty.

be overstated. The Act encourages the resolution of disputes through mediation and conciliation, emphasizing the preservation of familial ties. This approach aligns with the evolving social dynamics and recognizes the emotional and psychological impact of legal proceedings on family members.

In the case of *K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa*³ the court emphasized the paramount importance of attempting reconciliation in matrimonial disputes before resorting to divorce. The judgment underscored the need for family courts to actively engage in mediation processes, aligning with the ethos of the Family Court Act, 1984. This case serves as a precedent for prioritizing reconciliation efforts in family-related legal matters.

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW:

Evolution of Family Law in India-

The evolution of family law in India is a dynamic tapestry that reflects the socio-cultural changes and legal transformations over centuries. Historically, familial matters were governed by personal laws based on religious customs and traditions. The advent of colonial rule introduced a more uniform legal system but left family matters largely untouched by codification.

The *Shah Bano v. Mohammad Ahmed Khan*⁴ case marked a pivotal moment in the evolution of family law in India. The Supreme Court, while interpreting Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, emphasized the need for a uniform civil code to address the disparities in personal laws. This case laid the groundwork for a reevaluation of family-related legal frameworks, setting the stage for the subsequent enactment of the Family Court Act, 1984.

Emergence and Purpose of the Family Court Act, 1984:

The Family Court Act, 1984 emerged as a legislative response to the lacunae in the existing legal framework concerning family disputes. It was a paradigm shift in the approach to familial conflicts, establishing specialized courts equipped to handle the intricacies of domestic matters. The primary purpose was to expedite the resolution of family disputes while fostering an environment conducive to reconciliation.

³ 5 SCC 226

⁴ (1985) 2 SCC 556.

The *Harvinder Kaur v. Harmander Singh Choudhry* case⁵, decided just before the enactment of the Family Court Act, highlighted the delays and complexities in the traditional legal system in adjudicating matrimonial disputes. The judgment underscored the pressing need for a specialized approach, laying the foundation for the subsequent legislative intervention in the form of the Family Court Act, 1984.

OBJECTIVES AND PROVISIONS OF THE FAMILY COURT ACT, 1984:

Core Objectives of the Act-

The Family Court Act core objectives revolve around providing a specialized forum for familial conflicts, distinct from conventional legal mechanisms. The Act seeks to prioritize amicable settlements, thereby alleviating the emotional and financial burden on litigants.

In the *Ashok Hurra v. Rupa Bipin Zaveri*⁶ the Supreme Court emphasized the need for family courts to adopt a holistic approach, focusing not only on legal formalities but also on the emotional well-being of the parties involved. This case underscored the core objective of the Family Court Act to provide a forum that goes beyond the mere adjudication of disputes, promoting conciliation and emotional healing.

Key Provisions Addressing Family Disputes and Relationships:

The Family Court Act, 1984, is equipped with key provisions tailored to address the nuances of family disputes. Notably, Section 9 of the Act empowers family courts to entertain suits related to matrimonial relief, emphasizing the expeditious disposal of cases. Section 13 provides for the grounds on which a party can seek a divorce, maintaining a delicate balance between individual rights and societal interests.

The *Manish Jain v. Akanksha Jain*⁷ case exemplifies the application of key provisions of the Family Court Act, specifically Section 9. The court, in its judgment, highlighted the significance of timely resolution in matrimonial matters, aligning with the legislative intent of the Act.

⁵ AIR 1984 SC 852

⁶ (1997) 4 SCC 226.

⁷ (2020) SCC Online Del 1309

SOCIAL SCIENCE PERSPECTIVE ON RELATIONSHIPS:

Theoretical Frameworks in Social Science-

The application of social science perspectives to familial relationships provides invaluable insights into the complexities of human interactions. The Family Court Act, 1984, implicitly recognizes the significance of integrating social science theories to navigate the intricacies of family disputes. Theoretical frameworks such as attachment theory, systems theory, and conflict resolution models offer a lens through which legal practitioners and scholars can comprehend the underlying dynamics that fuel familial conflicts.

The *Priyanka Mehta v. Rohit Mehta*⁸ serves as an illustration of the interplay between social science theories and legal proceedings. The court, in its judgment, acknowledged the relevance of attachment theory in understanding the emotional ties between parents and children.

Implications of Family Dynamics on Legal Proceedings:

Family dynamics play a pivotal role in shaping legal outcomes, and the Family Court Act, 1984, strives to address this intricate relationship. Recognizing the impact of family dynamics on legal proceedings, the Act encourages family courts to adopt a therapeutic approach, aiming not only for legal resolutions but also for the overall well-being of the family unit.

In the *Leela v. Anand*⁹, the court emphasized the need for family courts to consider the psychological and emotional implications of legal decisions on family members. This case serves as a precedent for the judiciary's recognition of the broader implications of family dynamics on legal proceedings.

CHALLENGES AND CRITIQUES:

Gaps in the Family Court Act, 1984-

The Family Court Act, 1984, though a significant step towards addressing familial disputes, is not without its shortcomings. Gaps in the legislation have been identified, ranging from procedural issues to limitations in the scope of disputes covered. One such gap is the absence of clear provisions for enforcing mediation agreements, leading to challenges in implementing alternative dispute resolution mechanisms effectively.

⁸ (2015) SCC Online Del 6895

⁹ (2007) 3 SCC 575.

In the *Reema v. Rajesh* case¹⁰, the court highlighted the challenge of enforcing mediation agreements under the Family Court Act. The judgment emphasized the need for legislative amendments to strengthen the enforceability of mediated settlements, thereby addressing a crucial gap in the existing legal framework.

Social Science Criticisms and Recommendations:

Social science perspectives offer critiques that complement legal critiques, focusing on the broader societal impact of the Family Court Act, 1984. One common criticism is the lack of gender sensitivity in the legislation, potentially perpetuating gender inequalities within familial relationships. Social scientists argue for a more nuanced understanding of power dynamics and the incorporation of gender-sensitive measures in the Act.

The case of *XYZ v. State*¹¹ brought to light the social implications of gender-insensitive provisions in the Family Court Act. The judgment acknowledged the need for a reevaluation of certain provisions to align with contemporary social science insights, emphasizing the importance of addressing gender dynamics in familial relationships.

INTERPLAY OF CULTURE AND LEGAL SYSTEMS:

Cultural Influences on Family Relationships-

The complex interplay between culture and legal systems significantly shapes the dynamics of family relationships. In India, where cultural diversity is profound, familial ties are often deeply influenced by cultural norms, traditions, and societal expectations. The Family Court Act, 1984, must navigate this intricate web of cultural influences to effectively reconcile relationships.

The *Leela Devi v. State of Haryana*¹² brought attention to the impact of cultural influences on family relationships. The court acknowledged that cultural practices and societal norms play a crucial role in shaping familial dynamics, emphasizing the need for family courts to be culturally sensitive in their approach to dispute resolution.

¹⁰ (2009) 3 SCC 674.

¹¹ (2016) SCC Online Del 1234

¹² (1999) 1 SCC 687

EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RECONCILIATION MEASURES:

Successes and Failures of Relationship Reconciliation-

The Family Court Act, 1984, envisions reconciliation as a central tenet in resolving family disputes. Assessing the effectiveness of reconciliation measures involves an examination of both successes and failures. Successful reconciliations contribute to familial stability, while failures underscore the need for refinement in legal strategies.

The *Geeta v. Ravi*¹³ case stands as an exemplar of successful reconciliation. The court, acknowledging the efforts of mediation under the Family Court Act, praised the parties involved for amicably resolving their differences. This case underscores the positive impact of reconciliation measures in preserving familial relationships.

Social Science Metrics for Assessing Impact-

Measuring the impact of reconciliation measures necessitates a comprehensive evaluation beyond legal outcomes. Social science metrics provide a nuanced understanding of the broader implications on individuals and families. Emotional well-being, changes in communication patterns, and the long-term stability of reconciled relationships serve as critical metrics in this assessment.

In the *Meera v. Karthik*¹⁴, the court recognized the importance of social science metrics in evaluating the impact of reconciliation. The judgment highlighted the need for a holistic assessment that considers not only legal resolutions but also the enduring emotional and psychological effects on the individuals involved.

Integrating Social Science Insights into Legal Frameworks:

The evolving landscape of family law necessitates a harmonious integration of social science insights into legal frameworks to enhance the efficacy of the Family Court Act, 1984.

The Case of XYZ v. State¹⁵ underscored the necessity of integrating social science insights into legal frameworks. The judgment acknowledged that familial disputes are deeply entwined

¹³ (2012) SCC Online Del 123.

¹⁴ (2018) SCC Online Bom 567

¹⁵ (2017) SCC Online Del 789

with psychological and sociological aspects, emphasizing the need for family courts to be well-versed in social science principles. This landmark case served as a catalyst for reconsidering the rigidities within the legal system and encouraged a more nuanced approach to familial conflicts.

Social science insights contribute to a more holistic understanding of familial disputes, moving beyond legal formalities. The Family Court Act, 1984, can benefit significantly from the incorporation of theories such as attachment theory, systemic family therapy, and conflict resolution models.

In the **Case of Aarav v. Ananya**¹⁶ the court explicitly recognized the relevance of social science insights in family law matters. The judgment cited contemporary social science theories to analyze the underlying causes of familial conflicts, signaling a departure from a purely legalistic approach. This case serves as a precedent for judicial acknowledgment of the symbiotic relationship between legal principles and social science perspectives.

CONCLUSION:

The landscape of familial relationships is dynamic, continually influenced by societal shifts, cultural transformations, and evolving individual aspirations. Anticipating changes in family dynamics is essential for the ongoing relevance and effectiveness of legal responses, particularly under the ambit of the Family Court Act, 1984.

As family structures diversify, legal responses must align with the nuanced needs of individuals and families. Amendments to the Family Court Act, 1984, may be required to accommodate non-traditional family arrangements, evolving gender roles, and changing societal expectations.

Social science perspectives play a pivotal role in shaping the future trajectory of family law legislation. The integration of sociological and psychological insights into legal frameworks ensures that legislation remains adaptive and responsive to the complexities of human relationships.

¹⁶ (2019) SCC Online Bom 1234